

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY, DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,724	03/26/2007	Victor Anomah Ngu	133059-01US 2192	
50659 Thomas Moga	7590 02/19/2008	EXAMINER		
Butzel Long	NUCCT	SNYDER, STUART		
STONERIDGE WEST 41000 WOODWARD AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BLOOMFIELD	D HILLS, MI 48304		1648	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/19/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ball@butzel.com patent@butzel.com burns@butzel.com

41		Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/573,724		NGU, VICTOR ANOMAH				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Stuart W. S	nyder	1648				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 March 2006</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 								
Disposition of Claims								
 4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-29 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
Applicati	on Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Exami The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) _ a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) he drawing(s) be rection is required	held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cl				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te				

Application/Control Number:

10/573,724 Art Unit: 1648

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

2. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- Group I, claim(s) 1-12, drawn to a method of vaccine preparation including a lipid extracting step.
- Group II, claim(s) 12-20, drawn to drawn to a method of vaccine preparation including a lipid extracting step and mixing leukocytes with aqueous phase of lipid extraction.
- Group III, claim(s) 21, 26-27 and 29, drawn to a vaccine prepared by the method of Group I.
- Group IV, claim(s) 22 and 29, drawn to a method of use of the vaccine of Group III.
- Group V, claims 23-25, drawn to a vaccine prepared by the method of Group II.

The inventions listed as Groups I-V do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The common technical feature of each of the groups is the step of lipid extraction of a pathogen to obtain a composition suitable for use as a vaccine. However, such practice is well-known in the art of vaccinology as evidenced

by the teachings of Eckels, et al. (Appl. Microbiol. 1970). As such the technical feature is not "special" within the meaning of PCT Rule 13.2.

2. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. The species are as follows:

Various biological fluids (see, for example, claim 2), various pathogens (see, for example, claims 3-6), various lipid extraction solvents (see, for example claims 11, 12, 14, 18 and 19),

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The following claim(s) are generic: All of the claims are generic.

10/573,724 Art Unit: 1648

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The common technical feature of each of the groups is the step of lipid extraction of a pathogen to obtain a composition suitable for use as a vaccine. However, such practice is well-known in the art of vaccinology as evidenced by the teachings of Eckels, et al. (Appl. Microbiol. 1970). As such the technical feature is not "special" within the meaning of PCT Rule 13.2.

- A telephone call was made to Thomas T. Moga, Esq. on February 1, 2008 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds

10/573,724 Art Unit: 1648

one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stuart W. Snyder whose telephone number is (571) 272-9945. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM-5:30 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bruce R. Campell can be reached on (571) 272-0974. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

MARY E. MOSHER, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER

Stuart W Snyder Examiner Art Unit 1648